UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,288	06/16/2006	Malcolm Nigel Hunter	FISHR-74622	8205
24201 FULWIDER PA	7590 01/29/200 ATTON LLP	EXAMINER		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
LOS ANGELE	R DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR ES, CA 90045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/583,288	HUNTER, MALCOLM NIGEL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIEL L. ROBINSON	3742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12,34,35 and 39-46 is/are penders 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34 and 35 is/are we 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12 and 40-46 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 39 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and applicant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request the specificant may not requ	ithdrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3742

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-9, 12, 39-45 and 46 in the reply filed on 11-13-2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden. This is not found persuasive because As per the previous office action there is no special technical features between all claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 2001-161195.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3742

Claims 4-6 and 40-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2001-161195 in view of Constance(U.S.Pat.5,067,275). JP 2001-161195 discloses a method of supplying and retaining water for potted plants that shows cylindrical rods 1, a tank 2, water 4, a cover 5, orifice 6, drain orifice 9 and soil 10 with vinyl coating 11 and plant pot 8. JP 2001-161195 does not explicitly show an impervious conduit. Constance discloses a hydroponic garden that explicitly shows using a PVC conduit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a PVC conduit as taught by Constance since it is well known in th eart that PVC does not rust and is impervious to many liquids, the PVC conduit can also receive the potted plants.

Claims 7-9 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2001-161195 in view of Constance as applied to claims 4-6 and 40-46 above, and further in view of Ripley et al.(U.S.Pat.Re.35,006). JP 2001-161195 in view of Constance does not explicitly show a mesh material. Ripley discloses a turfing system for stadia tha texpliicitly shows a mesh material disposed at a bottom of a container. IT would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a mesh material as taught by Ripley in the device of JP 2001-161195 in vie of Constance to retain soil.

Art Unit: 3742

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 39 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL L. ROBINSON whose telephone number is (571)272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,288 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dlr/Daniel L Robinson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742